

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,651	03/09/2006	Thomas Corbett	ARD128USA	3443
24339 JOEL D. SKIN	7590 04/09/200 INIED ID	7	EXAMINER	
SKINNER AN	D ASSOCIATES		EXAMINER BERRY, WILLIE WENDELL JR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	WENDELL JR
212 COMMER HUDSON, WI			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			3643	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	ONTHS	04/09/2007	PAI	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/541,651	THOMAS CORBETT	€ _a .
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Willie W. Berry, Jr.	3643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		orrespondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	₽,
Status		٠.	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Jan 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. noe except for formal matters, pro		s is Եր
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·	*
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the ldrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	t.
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P	ate	£,
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/541,651

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over patent no. 4,837,990 to Peleg in view of patent no. 3,162,920 to Durham.

Peleg discloses a polytunnel system comprising a plurality of cover support members (4); a series of leg members (2) and a cover (6); wherein the cover support members are securable to the leg members at a plurality of different heights (col. 3, lines 30-36); wherein each leg member carries a bracket (10 and 12) which, in turn, carries the associated cover support member; the bracket being securable to the leg member in a range of positions, wherein each bracket (10 and 12) defines a passage through which the associated leg member (2) extends (not numbered, but shown in fig. 2); wherein the bracket defines an opening (not numbered, but shown in fig. 2) and the associated leg member is provided with a series of openings (not numbered, but shown in fig. 2); a fixing pin being locatable within the opening of the bracket and selected one of the openings of the leg member to secure the bracket in a selected position (col. 3, lines 30-36); wherein each bracket includes at least one arm (10) onto or over which part of an associated cover support member can be located; and wherein the ends of

Application/Control Number: 10/541,651

Art Unit: 3643

the cover support members extend generally vertically (not numbered, but shown in fig.

3).

Peleg does not disclose a movable rope and anchorage points.

Durham teaches it is known to have a rope anchorage point (28) in a removable grommet attachment structure for sheet covers.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Peleg to include the teachings of Durham for the purpose of providing a means of securing a covering as stated by Durham in col. 1, lines 25-30.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peleg in view of Durham as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of patent no. 4,651,466 to Robinson.

Peleg in view of Durham disclose as discussed above.

Peleg in view of Durham does not disclose a bracket having a socket used for receiving a cover support member.

Robinson teaches it is known to have a bracket (40) having a socket (45 and 50) used for receiving a cover support member in a garden bed frame.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Peleg in view of Durham to include the teachings of Robinson for the purpose of substituting one bracket used for supporting a cover support member for another.

:' ,

Application/Control Number: 10/541,651

Art Unit: 3643

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/12/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Peleg does not disclose the height of his tunnel being adjusted with the cover in situ. The examiner disagrees. The examiner feels that Peleg specifically meets this claim limitation at col. 3, lines 30-36. Applicant argues that Durham does not disclose rope anchorage point that is associated with the legs. The examiner disagrees. The examiner feels that as the height is adjusted in Peleg the teachings of Durham are still applicable, which means that the teachings of Durham work in association with the telescopic legs of Peleg and therefore meet the limitations in claim 1.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willie W. Berry, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-8974. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am to 5:30pm Mon. - Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on (571) 272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wbj.

D. O. Collins Primary Examiner 3643